



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/593,365

07/12/2007

Harry Kany

JD-337-US

8880

24804

7590

01/26/2011

Diversey, Inc.

8310 16TH STREET, M/S 509

PO BOX 902

STURTEVANT, WI 53177-0902

EXAMINER

BOYER, CHARLES I

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1761

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

01/26/2011

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/593,365	<b>Applicant(s)</b> KANY ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Charles I. Boyer	<b>Art Unit</b> 1796	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

#### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 April 2010.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 21-37 and 40-42 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 21-37 and 40-42 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All    b) ☐ Some \*    c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)                                  | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application                       |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____  |

### **DETAILED ACTION**

This action is responsive to applicants' amendment and response received April 14, 2010. Claims 21-37 and 40-42 are currently pending.

#### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. All 102 rejections set forth in the previous action are withdrawn in view of applicants' amendment and response.

#### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 21-29, 31, and 33-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Aubert et al, US 5,858,928.

Aubert et al teach an industrial cleaning agent for hard surfaces (col. 1, lines 10-12) comprising amino methyl propanol, ethoxylated phosphate diester, additional nonionic surfactant, and water (col. 9, example 1). With respect to specific chelants,

Art Unit: 1796

surfactants, and alkanolamines claimed, as these components are optional and therefore may be absent, they do not further limit the claim. While the reference does not make specific mention of metals, it is well known to persons of ordinary skill and consumers alike, that hard surface cleaners are formulated to include the cleaning of metals.

Applicants traversed this rejection when it was applied as a 102 on the grounds that a hard surface cleaner doesn't necessarily mean a metal cleaner. While the examiner concedes the point, metals are common household surfaces that are encompassed by any hard surface cleaner, And so any hard surface cleaner's use on metals is patently obvious.

4. All remaining 103 rejections set forth in the previous action are withdrawn in view of applicants' amendment and response.

5. Claims 21-37, and 40-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rubin et al, US 4,528,039.

Rubin et al teach an alkaline aluminum cleaner comprising sodium carbonate, fatty acid soap anionic surfactant, propylene glycol, and ethoxylated phosphate ester (col. 13, example 11). Preferred phosphate esters of the invention include ethoxylated phosphate diesters (col. 7, lines 7-32). Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use a diester surfactant in example 11 with complete confidence of formulating an effective aluminum cleaner.

6. Claims 21-37, and 40-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Geke et al, US 4,578,208.

Geke et al teach a metal cleaner comprising an alkanolamine mixture, sodium gluconate, phosphoric acid ester, and nonionic surfactant (col. 10, examples 33-41). Preferred phosphate esters of the invention include ethoxylated phosphate diesters (see claim 1). Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use a diester surfactant in the examples above with complete confidence of formulating an effective metal cleaner.

7. Claims 21-37, and 40-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Melin et al, US 4,752,411.

Melin et al teach a hard surface cleaner comprising an alkyl ether phosphate, NTA, fatty acid soap, potassium carbonate, and nonionic surfactant (col. 7, example 3). Preferred phosphate esters of the invention include ethoxylated phosphate diesters (col. 3, lines 39-55). Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use a diester surfactant in example 3 with complete confidence of formulating an effective metal cleaner. While the reference does not make specific mention of metals, it is well known to persons of ordinary skill and consumers alike, that hard surface cleaners are formulated to include the cleaning of metals.

8. Claims 21-37, and 40-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Beck et al, US 5,259,960.

Beck et al teach an alkaline aluminum cleaner comprising a sodium/potassium hydroxide blend, sodium gluconate, an ethoxylated phosphate ester, and nonionic surfactant (col. 14, example 1). Preferred phosphate esters of the invention include ethoxylated phosphate diesters (col. 8, lines 9-28). Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use a diester surfactant in example 1 with complete confidence of formulating an effective aluminum cleaner.

### ***Conclusion***

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action and/or

10. Applicant's submission of an information disclosure statement under 37 CFR 1.97(c) with the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(p) on April 14, 2010 prompted the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. See MPEP § 706.07(a) and/or MPEP § 609.04(b). Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Art Unit: 1796

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Charles I. Boyer whose telephone number is 571 272 1311. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 9:30 to 6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Pyon can be reached on 571 272 1498. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Charles I Boyer  
Primary Examiner  
Art Unit 1796

/Charles I Boyer/

Application/Control Number: 10/593,365

Page 7

Art Unit: 1796

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1796